

July, 1993
 KHTP-93-08
 SNUTP-93-38

Possible Tests of Conformal Turbulence through Boundaries

B.K. CHUNG, SOONKEON NAM,[★] Q-HAN PARK,[†] AND H.J. SHIN[‡]

Department of Physics
and
Research Institute for Basic Sciences
Kyung Hee University
Seoul, 130-701, Korea

ABSTRACT

We investigate various boundary conditions in two dimensional turbulence systematically in the context of conformal field theory. Keeping the conformal invariance, we can either change the shape of boundaries through finite conformal transformations, or insert boundary operators so as to handle more general cases. Effects of such operations will be reflected in physically measurable quantities such as the energy power spectrum $E(k)$ or the average velocity profiles. We propose that these effects can be used as a possible test of conformal turbulence in an experimental setting. We also study the periodic boundary conditions, i.e. turbulence on a torus geometry. The dependence of moduli parameter q appears explicitly in the one point functions in the theory, which can also be tested.

[★] E-mail address: nam@nms.kyunghee.ac.kr

[†] E-mail address: qpark@nms.kyunghee.ac.kr

[‡] E-mail address: shin@SHIN.kyunghee.ac.kr

Turbulence is a ubiquitous phenomenon in the physics of fluids, with scales ranging from the astronomical to centimeters.^[1] In the statistical approach to turbulence,^[2] Kolmogorov's theory of the inertial range provides a simple but powerful tool in obtaining the power spectra of turbulence. In three dimensions, there is an energy cascade from large scale to the smaller ones. The similarity argument gives rise to the well known $k^{-5/3}$ law^[3] which has successfully described some experimental data. However, in two dimensions, there is a fundamental difference, i.e. there is the vorticity conservation along the fluid particle trajectories. This leads to an enstrophy cascade towards small scales, giving the k^{-3} law.^[4] Although various numerical simulations^[5] suggest different power spectra, usually with values less than -3 , theoretical explanation for these values so far has been quite elusive.

Polyakov's recent work on the theory of two dimensional turbulence shed a new light on the problem.^[6,7] In this approach, the stream function ψ is assumed to be a primary field of a minimal conformal field theory (CFT) with conformal dimension Δ_ψ . By solving the Hopf equation and the constant enstrophy flux condition, he proposed that many non-unitary CFT's become exact solutions of turbulence. It was also suggested that a specific boundary matching condition could provide a selection rule among embarrassingly many solutions obtained by Polyakov and others.^[8-11] Moreover, due to the nature of non-unitary conformal theory, boundary conditions are essential in defining a theory itself.^[12] On the other hand, real experimental or numerical tests require definite boundary conditions, either periodic or non-periodic. Therefore a proper understanding of boundary conditions in conformal turbulence remains as an important open problem.

The purpose of this letter is to investigate various boundary conditions systematically in the context of CFT approach to turbulence. We show that physically measurable quantities, such as energy spectrum or velocity profiles, are affected by specific boundary conditions and we compute them in case of large momentum k . Keeping the conformal invariance, we can either change the shape of the boundary, through finite conformal transformations, or insert boundary operators in the boundary.^[13] We also study the periodic boundary condition, i.e. conformal

turbulence restricted on a torus. In this case we obtain the dependence of moduli parameter q through the one point functions in the theory. The boundary effects on the energy spectrum and the velocity profiles, and also the q -dependence of the one point functions, can be tested experimentally. We propose these tests as a possible verification of conformal turbulence.

A prototypical CFT on a manifold with boundary is (p, p') minimal model in the upper half plane, $\text{Im}z = y > 0$,^[14] with the primary fields $\Phi_{(r,s)}$ of conformal dimensions $\Delta_{rs} = [(ps - p'r)^2 - (p - p')^2]/4pp'$. In the above, p and p' are co-prime positive integers and $0 < r < p$, $0 < s < p'$. In Ref.[15], we have considered how the energy spectrum is affected by the boundary condition, and in Ref.[12], we have solved the Hopf equation with the constant enstrophy condition for such a model, and the simplest solution was the $(2, 33)$ minimal model with $\Phi_{(1,10)}$ of conformal dimension $\Delta_{1,10} = -3$ as the stream function. In this case the energy spectrum turns out to be,^[15,12]

$$E_{(x,y)}(k) = \sum_i C_{\psi\psi}^{\phi_i} k^{4\Delta_\psi - 2\Delta_{\phi_i} + 1} \langle \phi_i(z) \rangle_b + \text{secondaries}, \quad (1)$$

where ϕ_i are primary operators of conformal dimension Δ_{ϕ_i} , and $C_{\psi\psi}^{\phi_i}$ are structure constants of operator product expansion of two ψ 's. As long as the one point function of ϕ_i does not vanish, the energy spectrum away from the boundary is dominated by $k^{4\Delta_\psi - 2\Delta_\phi + 1}$ where ϕ is the least dimension operator among ϕ_i 's in Eq.(1). In the $(2,33)$ model, ϕ is $\Phi_{(1,17)}$, and the exponent of k is $-41/11 \sim -3.72723$. The one point function evaluated in the upper half plane is such that

$$\langle \phi_i(z) \rangle_b = d_{\phi_i} y^{-2\Delta_{\phi_i}}, \quad (2)$$

where d_{ϕ_i} are arbitrary constants, which are parameters of the theory which gain physical meaning through the velocity correlations. The velocity one point functions are easily obtained from the one point function of stream function by differ-

entiation:

$$\langle v_x(x, y) \rangle_b = -2\Delta_\psi d_\psi y^{-2\Delta_\psi-1}, \quad \langle v_y(x, y) \rangle_b = 0. \quad (3)$$

We see that there is no average velocity normal to the boundary, but only the average flow along the boundary. Such a velocity profile is to be expected from a rigid and slippery boundary.

In turbulence problem, one is often interested in various shapes of boundaries. One of the advantage of conformal turbulence is that it is rather easy to get different boundaries simply by performing finite conformal transformations. Under a finite conformal transform; $z \rightarrow f(z)$, $\bar{z} \rightarrow \bar{f}(\bar{z})$, a primary field transforms as

$$\phi'(z', \bar{z}') = \left(\frac{df}{dz} \right)^{-\Delta_\phi} \left(\frac{d\bar{f}}{d\bar{z}} \right)^{-\bar{\Delta}_\phi} \phi(z, \bar{z}). \quad (4)$$

From this, one can immediately evaluate one point functions of primary fields and the energy spectrum from eqs.(1) and (2).

First, we consider the case of a strip of width L which requires the transform; $t = x_1 + ix_2 = \frac{L}{i\pi} \ln z$, $0 < x_1 < L$, $-\infty < x_2 < \infty$. Using eq.(4), we get the one point function of the stream function;

$$\langle \psi(t) \rangle_{\text{strip}} = \left(\frac{\pi}{L} \right)^{2\Delta_\psi} \frac{d_\psi}{\left(\sin \frac{\pi}{L} x_1 \right)^{2\Delta_\psi}}. \quad (5)$$

which gives rise to the average velocity;

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_1 \rangle_{\text{strip}} &= 0, \\ \langle v_2 \rangle_{\text{strip}} &= 2d_\psi \Delta_\psi \left(\frac{\pi}{L} \right)^{2\Delta_\psi+1} \frac{\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{L} x_1 \right)}{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{L} x_1 \right)^{2\Delta_\psi+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Note that we have an antisymmetric velocity profile across the $x_1 = L/2$ line. Later on, we will see that more general type of velocity profiles are possible if we consider the insertion of boundary operators. For other primary fields, we have

similar expressions for the one point function, and thus the energy spectrum can be obtained using eq.(1):

$$E_{(x,y)}(k) = \sum_i C_{\psi\psi}^{\phi_i} k^{4\Delta_\psi - 2\Delta_{\phi_i} + 1} \left(\frac{\pi}{L}\right)^{2\Delta_{\phi_i}} \frac{d_{\phi_i}}{\left(\sin \frac{\pi}{L} x_1\right)^{2\Delta_{\phi_i}}} + \dots \quad (7)$$

For large L , there will be a region formed around the center of the strip in which the one point function of the least dimension operator dominates the energy power spectrum. This region may be identified with the usual inertial range of Kolmogorov where small scale fluctuations become isotropic despite of the large scale anisotropy.

Using a further conformal transformation;

$$w = \frac{\exp[i\pi(\frac{t}{L} - \frac{1}{2})] - 1}{\exp[i\pi(\frac{t}{L} - \frac{1}{2})] + 1}, \quad (8)$$

we can map a strip onto the interior of a circle of radius one. Evaluating the one point function of the stream function, we get

$$\langle \psi(w) \rangle_{\text{circle}} = -\frac{4^{\Delta_\psi} d_\psi}{(1 - r^2)^{2\Delta_\psi}}, \quad (9)$$

where $w = re^{i\theta}$. The velocity profile is then

$$\langle v_r \rangle_{\text{circle}} = 0, \quad \langle v_\theta \rangle_{\text{circle}} = \frac{4^{\Delta_\psi + 1} d_\psi r}{(1 - r^2)^{2\Delta_\psi + 1}}. \quad (10)$$

This certainly gives the velocity profile that circles around the origin, and displays the full circular symmetry. Of course, we can make yet further conformal transformations; $f(z) = z + a^2 z^{-1}$ to map the circle into an ellipse, or $f(z) = U[(z+\delta)+b^2(z+\delta)^{-1}]$ to map into the symmetrical Zhukovski aerofoil where the energy spectrum and the velocity profiles can be obtained straightforwardly.

In order to get more general velocity profiles, we need to impose different boundary conditions of 2-d CFT on the upper and lower parts of boundary in case of a strip. In general, imposing an arbitrary boundary condition will break the conformal invariance. However there are certain cases where we can change boundary values but keeping the conformal invariance. These cases arise when we insert a boundary operator ϕ_b , which itself is a primary or a secondary conformal field, in the boundary of upper half plane, and perform a finite conformal transform to the strip. Such a boundary operator has already been considered in the surface critical phenomena^[16] and in the open string theory.^[17]

Operationally, the effect of a boundary operator $\phi_b(0)$ inserted at $z = 0$ is to change the boundary condition of the half plane such that boundary values become discontinuous at the origin. Since the global conformal transformation requires a point added at infinity, we need a conjugate boundary operator at infinity to take care of the boundary change at infinity. Thus, when we evaluate a one point function of a conformal field in the upper half plane, together with the image point in the lower half plane and the two boundary operators inserted, we need to evaluate a 4-point function in the plane geometry.^[16] In general, an n -point function in the upper half plane with an inserted boundary operator satisfies a differential equation for a $2n+2$ point function for the bulk case. The differential equations for the correlation functions follow from the conformal Ward identity, which specifies the change in the correlation function under an arbitrary infinitesimal coordinate transformation. For an operator degenerate at the level two, there is a second-order differential equation in terms of which the bulk four point function reduces to the one point function in the upper half plane with a boundary operator.

Following the above prescription, we get the most general form of one point function,

$$\langle \phi_i(z, \bar{z}) \rangle_{\phi_b} = y^{-2\Delta_{\phi_i}} \mathcal{F}(\cos \theta), \quad (11)$$

where $z = x + iy$, $\cos \theta = x/|z|$ and $\langle \rangle_{\phi_b}$ denotes the expectation on a half plane with the insertion of an operator ϕ_b at the origin. In general, the explicit form

of $\mathcal{F}$ is difficult to obtain except for the cases where the underlying conformal field theories are degenerate at the level two. Nevertheless Eq.(11) in its own form is already quite suggestive. When we fix the angle θ and move away from the boundary, we have only the y -dependence, and also through eq.(1), this behavior is reflected in the energy spectrum. A finite conformal transform of Eq.(11) once again leads to the one point function on a strip;

$$\langle \phi_i(t) \rangle_{\text{strip}} = d_{\phi_i} \left(\frac{\pi}{L} \right)^{2\Delta_{\phi_i}} \frac{\mathcal{F}(\cos \frac{\pi}{L} x_1)}{(\sin \frac{\pi}{L} x_1)^{2\Delta_{\phi_i}}} \quad (12)$$

which in particular shows that the velocity profile is no longer antisymmetric.

Let us be more specific on the form of $\mathcal{F}$. Even though we can not find an explicit form of $\mathcal{F}$ in general, the property of $\mathcal{F}$ under the modular transformation restricts further the form of $\mathcal{F}$ in the following way; in the presense of boundary operators which juxtapose different boundary conditions, there is a relation^[13] between the boundary values of one point function, i.e. the boundary value on the right hand side of the boundary operator, $x > 0$, and that on the left hand side $x < 0$. These are related through the S matrix of the modular transformation $\tau \rightarrow -\tau^{-1}$ with the modular parameter τ :

$$\chi_{rs}(q) = \sum_{r',s'} S_{(r,s)}^{(r',s')} \chi_{r's'}(\tilde{q}), \quad (13)$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ and $\tilde{q} = e^{-2\pi i / \tau}$, and the Virasoro characters are given by $\chi_{rs}(q) = q^{-\frac{c}{24}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_{rs}(n) q^n$, with $d_{rs}(n)$ giving number of independent states at level n . The sum is over integers r' and s' for the ranges $1 \leq r' \leq p' - 1$ and $1 \leq s' \leq p - 1$, with the condition $s'p' \leq r'p$. The relation, specifically for the boundary operator $\phi_{r',s'}$ and for the one point function of $\phi = \phi_{r,s}$ in eq.(11) is given by

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}(\cos 0)}{\mathcal{F}(\cos \pi)} = \frac{S_{(r,s)}^{(r',s')} S_{(1,1)}^{(1,1)}}{S_{(r,s)}^{(1,1)} S_{(1,1)}^{(r',s')}}, \quad (14)$$

where the S matrix for (p, p') representation of the Virasoro algebra has compo-

nents such that^[18]

$$S_{(r,s)}^{(r',s')} = 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{pp'}}(-1)^{rs'+r's+1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi prr'}{p'}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi p'ss'}{p}\right). \quad (15)$$

As for a concrete example, we consider the $p = 2, p' = 5$ minimal CFT solution of two dimensional turbulence. Since there is only one primary field apart from the identity, there is only one nontrivial boundary operator, the stream function itself. The S matrix in this case is given as follows:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2\sin(2\pi/5)}{\sqrt{5}} & -\frac{2\sin(6\pi/5)}{\sqrt{5}} \\ -\frac{2\sin(6\pi/5)}{\sqrt{5}} & -\frac{2\sin(18\pi/5)}{\sqrt{5}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

where the first column or row corresponds to the identity operator and the second to the primary field $\phi_{1,2}$. The one point function of the stream function is

$$\langle\psi(z)\rangle_\psi = y^{2/5}\mathcal{F}(\cos\theta), \quad (17)$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\cos\theta)$ is given in terms of a linear combination of hypergeometric functions;

$$\mathcal{F}(\cos\theta) = A {}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5}; \frac{1}{2}; \cos^2\theta\right) + B \cos\theta {}_2F_1\left(\frac{9}{10}, \frac{7}{10}; \frac{3}{2}; \cos^2\theta\right), \quad (18)$$

for some constants A and B . From the relation as in Eq.(14), we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}(\cos\pi)}{\mathcal{F}(\cos 0)} = \frac{\sin(\frac{2\pi}{5})\sin(\frac{18\pi}{5})}{\sin^2(\frac{6\pi}{5})}. \quad (19)$$

which determine $A = \frac{\Gamma(11/10)\Gamma(1/2)}{\Gamma(9/10)\Gamma(7/10)}$ and $B = \frac{\Gamma(11/10)\Gamma(-1/2)}{\Gamma(2/5)\Gamma(1/5)}$ so that we can write $\mathcal{F}(\cos\theta)$ in a closed form;

$$\mathcal{F}(\cos\theta) \sim {}_2F_1\left(\frac{4}{5}, \frac{2}{5}; \frac{11}{10}; \frac{1-\cos\theta}{2}\right). \quad (20)$$

Putting this back into Eq.(11) gives the exact one point function of the stream function, and the velocity profile through differentiation. The energy spectrum is

now given by Eq.(1) as a function of the distance from the boundary operator as well as the angle formed by the boundary and the line joining the origin and the point of evaluation of the energy spectrum.

Finally, we consider the periodic boundary case, i.e. conformal turbulence on a torus. In general, the multipoint functions of the minimal model on a torus can be evaluated in terms of the generalized Virasoro characters $\chi_\lambda(q, z)$ where $q = \exp(2\pi i\tau)$, ($\text{Im}\tau > 0$). In the pinching limit, $q \rightarrow 0$, the torus degenerates into a long cylinder and we can expand the one point function on a torus in q which becomes a sum of three point functions on the plane.^[19,20] Explicitly, this takes the form,

$$\langle \phi_i(z, \bar{z}) \rangle_{\text{torus}} \sim \frac{1}{Z(q)} (q\bar{q})^{-\frac{c}{24}} \sum_l q^{\Delta_l} \bar{q}^{\bar{\Delta}_l} \langle \phi_l | \phi_i(1, 1) | \phi_l \rangle_{\text{sphere}} + \dots \quad (21)$$

where the sum is over the primary and secondary fields of the theory, and $Z(q)$ is the partition function, which itself can be expanded in q . The three point functions on a sphere can be determined uniquely by the conformal symmetry up to coefficients of OPE. We note that the q -dependence of the one point function arises in powers of q with the conformal dimensions as power exponents. Here, we have a good example of the case where the one point function of a conformal field does not vanish and moreover even the value itself is determined, unlike the upper half plane case. On a torus, one point function of a primary field is independent of the position z while it is explicitly dependent on q . This leads to the vanishing of the average velocity while the energy spectrum becomes dependent on q through one point functions in Eq.(1). The shape dependence through periodic boundary conditions has already appeared in the study of critical Ising model in a rectangular geometry,^[21] and conformal field theory prediction has been tested.^[22] So, it would be a real test of conformal turbulence if we observe boundary effects predicted as in this paper in the laboratory or in a computer simulation.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Je-Young Choi for discussions. This work was supported in part by the program of Basic Science Research, Ministry of

Education, and by Korea Science and Engineering Foundation, and partly through CTP/SNU. QP thanks K.Kang and Physics Department of Brown University for their support through SNU-CTP exchange program during his visit.

REFERENCES

1. See for example, L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics*, Pergamon, Oxford (1984).
2. A.S. Monin and A.M. Yaglom, *Statistical Fluid Mechanics*, The MIT Press, Cambridge (1975).
3. A.N. Kolmogorov, J. Fluid Mech. **13** (1962) 82.
4. R. Kraichnan, Phys. Fluid, **10** (1967) 1417.
5. B. Legras, P. Santangelo, and R. Benzi, Europhys. Lett. **5** (1988) 37.
6. A.M. Polyakov, Princeton preprint PUPT-1341, hep-th/9209046.
7. A.M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. **B396** (1993) 367.
8. Y. Matsuo, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A8** (1993) 619.
9. G. Falkovich and A. Hanany, WIS-92-88-PH, hep-th/9212015; WIS-93-5-PH, hep-th/9301030.
10. D.A. Lowe, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A8** (1993) 923.
11. G. Ferretti and Z. Yang, Europhys. Lett. **22** (1993) 639.
12. B.K. Chung, S. Nam, Q-H. Park, and H.J. Shin, Kyung Hee Univ. preprint, KHTP-93-07, hep-th/9307091 .
13. J.L. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. **B324** (1989) 581.
14. J.L. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. **B240** [FS12] (1984) 514.
15. B.K. Chung, S. Nam, Q-H. Park, and H.J. Shin, Phys. Lett. **309B** (1993) 58.
16. T.W. Burkhardt and T. Xue, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66** (1991) 895; Nucl. Phys. **B354** (1991) 653.
17. C.G. Callan, C. Lovelace, C.R. Nappi, and S.A. Yost, Phys. Lett. **206B** (1988) 41; Nucl. Phys. **B308** (1988) 221.
18. A. Cappelli, C. Itzykson, and J.B. Zuber, Nucl. Phys. **B280** (1987) 445.
19. H. Sonoda, Nucl. Phys. **B311** (1988/89) 417.
20. J. Bagger, D. Nemeschansky, and J.B. Zuber, Phys. Lett. **216B** (1989) 320.
21. A.E. Ferdinand and M.E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. **185** (1969) 832.
22. P. Kleban, G. Akinci, R. Hentschke, and K.R. Brownstein, J. Phys. A **19** (1986) 437.